




# ATLAST and JWST Segmented Telescope Design Considerations

Lee Feinberg, NASA GSFC



## General Approach taken since 2009

- To the extent it makes sense, leverage JWST knowledge, designs, architectures, GSE
  - Good starting point
  - Develop a full end to end architecture that closes
  - Try to avoid recreating the wheel except where needed
  - Optimize from there (mainly for stability and coronagraphy)
- Develop a scalable design reference mission (9.2 meter)
  - Do just enough work to understand launch break points in aperture size
- Demonstrate 10 pm stability is achievable on a design reference mission
  - A really key design driver is the most robust stability possible!!!
- Make design compatible with starshades
- While segmented coronagraphs with high throughput and large bandpasses are important, make the system serviceable so you can evolve the instruments
- Keep it room temperature to minimize the costs associated with cryo
  - Focus resources on the contrast problem
- Start with the architecture and connect it to the technology needs



# General ATLAST Requirements

					Science Instrument	Parameter	Requirement
Parameter		Requirement	Stretch Goal <sup>†</sup>	Traceability	UV Multi-Object Spectrograph	Wavelength Range	100 nm – 300 nm
Primary Mirror Aperture		≥ 8.0 meters	> 12.0 meters	Resolution, Sensitivity, Exoplanet Yield		Field-of-View	1 – 2 arcmin
Telescope Temperature		273 K – 293 K	-	Thermal Stability, Integration & Test, Contamination, IR Sensitivity		Spectral Resolution	R = 20,000 – 300,000 (selectable)
Wavelength Coverage	UV	100 nm – 300 nm	90 nm – 300 nm	-	Visible-NIR Imager	Wavelength Range	300 nm – 1.8 μm
	Visible	300 nm – 950 nm	-	-		Field-of-View	4 – 8 arcmin
	NIR	950 nm – 1.8 μm	950 nm – 2.5 μm	-		Image Resolution	Nyquist sampled at 500 nm
	MIR	Sensitivity to 8.0 μm <sup>††</sup>	-	Transit Spectroscopy	Visible-NIR Spectrograph	Wavelength Range	300 nm – 1.8 μm
Image Quality	UV	< 0.20 arcsec at 150 nm	-	-		Field-of-View	4 – 8 arcmin
	Vis/NIR/MIR	Diffraction-limited at 500 nm	-	-		Spectral Resolution	R = 100 – 10,000 (selectable)
Stray Light		Zodi-limited between 400 nm – 1.8 μm	Zodi-limited between 200 nm – 2.5 μm	Exoplanet Imaging & Spectroscopy SNR	MIR Imager / Spectrograph	Wavelength Range	1.8 μm – 8 μm
Wavefront Error Stability		~ 10 pm RMS uncorrected system WFE per wavefront control step	-	Starlight Suppression via Internal Coronagraph		Field-of-View	3 – 4 arcmin
Pointing	Spacecraft	≤ 1 milli-arcsec	-	-		Image Resolution	Nyquist sampled at 3 μm
	Coronagraph	< 0.4 milli-arcsec	-	-	Starlight Suppression System	Spectral Resolution	R = 5 – 500 (selectable)
						Wavelength Range	400 nm – 1.8 μm
						Raw Contrast	1×10 <sup>-10</sup>
					Multi-Band Exoplanet Imager	Contrast Stability	1×10 <sup>-11</sup> over science observation
						Inner-working angle	34 milli-arcsec @ 1 μm
					Exoplanet Spectrograph	Outer-working angle	> 0.5 arcsec @ 1 μm
						Field-of-View	~0.5 arcsec
						Resolution	Nyquist sampled at 500 nm
						Field-of-View	~0.5 arcsec
						Resolution	R = 70 – 500 (selectable)


Rioux, et al, 2016, JATIS, in review

# Aperture Sizes Studies since 2009


## Using JWST Hex Segment Architectures




9.2m in Delta IVH:  
Circular Geometry  
JWST SM deployment,  
3 JWST-wings per side




11.9m in Delta IVH  
Clamshell SMSS






12m is SLS,  
Dual Fold  
Wing



18m is Block 2 SLS,  
16m deemed  
feasible



Space Launch System  
Launch Vehicle/Panels in Notional Shroud




20m Assembled

SIZE




# Mass Considerations

Approximate Launch Mass to Sun-Earth L2 Orbit ( $C3 = -0.5 \text{ km}^2/\text{sec}^2$ )




Representative Launch Vehicle Fairing Diameters (meters)



For a 12m, goal of 50% mass reserves and sufficient volume drives us towards SLS Block 1B or 2

# Scalable Segmented Design Reference Mission






# Multi-layer stability approach: Add layers based on performance and cost


	Layer 1: Minimum observatory (active heater, non-contact isolation)	Layer 2: Use internal coronagraph sensing and control methods	Layer 3: Use telescope metrology systems
Segment Thermal Stability	Low Q architecture, Active PM heater control, material choice	Zernike Sensor with continuous DM control	
Segment to Segment Thermal Stability	Active heater and MLI control, material choice, joint design	Zernike Sensor with Continuous or Segmented DM control (piston, tip/tilt), Use bright star (reduce 10 minute update rates)	Laser metrology, edge sensors
Segment Dynamics Stability	Stiffness and Design, Possibly smaller segments, materials		
Segment to Segment Dynamic Stability	Reaction Wheel isolators, Non-contact Isolation between SC and telescope, Design, TMD's (if needed), material choice	Zernike Sensor, Feed forward DM control, Use bright star (reduce update rate)	Laser metrology, edge sensors
Line of Sight/SM Thermal Stability	Low Q architecture, Heater	LOS sensor and control mirror, MIMF for SM alignment	Laser truss, image based techniques
Line of Sight/SM Dynamic Stability	Reaction wheel isolators, Non-contact isolation, Design, TMD (if needed)	LOS sensor and control with feed forward control	Laser truss, imaged based techniques

# Notional End to End Architecture?





# Mirror stability demonstrated

AMSD: Lightweight Closed Back ULE Heritage




- See paper by M. Eisenhower/SAO on mirror thermal control architecture
  - Next generation ULE 1.2m flat to flat, 12Kg mass
- Single segment design is optimized for high thermal and dynamic stability (each segment is like a smallish ExoC or TPFC mirror)
- Mass production is similar to TMT, multiple parallel lines
- Silicon Carbide and Zerodur also assessed and each has advantages, expect mirror material trade in the future




# Integrated Modeling Results

- Based on published non-contact isolation values, passive reaction wheel isolation
- Caveats:
  - Results include NO MUF and damping knock-down factor.
  - Mechanical and finite element models are at preliminary stages of development.
  - All isolation systems are implemented as idealized analytical filters.
  - Assumes system behaves linearly down to picometer scale (plan to validate this at joint/interface level, Ultra-Stable technology effort underway)

Total WFE: Vibe+RW Isolators, 1" Strut



LOS Results: Vibe+RW Isolators








# Mirror dynamics and deformations

- Mirrors will have tilt modes that dominate WFE along with deformation modes that result from harmonic coupling
  - Deformations also result from tilts (induced by the inertia), see below
- One path to minimizing mirror tilt and mirror deformation is to minimize the tilts using isolation – initial modeling of tilts using traditional linear models is promising but hard to verify full scale at the picometer level
- A more robust solution and simpler verification strategy for mirror tilt is an active control loop between segment edge sensors and a segmented DM. In this approach, larger tilts can be tolerated but only if they do not deform the mirror. This also provides insurance from higher order harmonics and sneak paths like cables.
  - 2x stiffer mirrors would greatly reduce the risk of higher order of harmonics
  - Stiffer mirrors also help with gravity SAG
- See induced deformation (see “nanometer characterization of the JWST optomechanical systems using high-speed interferometry”, Saif et al, Applied Optics May 1<sup>st</sup> 2015 Vol 54, No. 13”)

Input disturbance locations used during dynamic high speed interferometer metrology



Spatial Modes of a mirror segment demonstrates rigid body and deformation modes



# Changes in Consideration

- Narrower struts
  - Already included in modeling (1" wide)
  - Initial results promising but needs more vetting
- Alternative strut geometries
  - The 12m in an SLS Block 1A or 2 has plenty of volume to accommodate this
  - Need to carefully assess heritage and 1-G deployment and integration
  - We'll see if this is a differentiator in the SCD modeling
- Even stiffer mirrors
  - Requires slightly deeper mirrors (get it for free if use SiC)
  - Minimize gravity error (and thus uncertainty on gravity) – very tight requirement of about 2nm RMS surface!
  - Conceptually: Remove large scale backplane changes actively, make very, very stable mirrors (thermal, dynamics, lurch)

# Deployment Video




# Mirror Installation Video









# Large Aperture UVOIR Telescope Can leverage JWST Technology and Design Heritage





# Large Aperture UVOIR Telescope Can Leverage JWST Integration and Testing



# Conclusion

- A scalable segmented telescope architecture that achieves high stability continues to evolve
- JWST segment geometry and size has given us a good starting point for a reference design
  - Continue to evaluate improvements like strut size on a case by case basis
- Some key technologies that enable this:
  - High contrast segmented coronagraphs
  - Low power picometer edge sensors
  - Picometer class Segmented DM's
  - Lower cost picometer DM's that can be made using economies of scale (including electronics and hybridizations)
  - Ultra-stable structures and latches (note: metrology to characterize these is being funded)
  - Optical components for high contrast (dichroics, beamsplitters, polarization)
  - Picometer stable mirrors (milli-Kelvin class thermal control)
  - Low power laser truss for secondary mirror